#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and	)
THE OSAGE MINERALS COUNCIL,	)
Plaintiffs,	) ) )
vs.	) Case No. 14-CV-704-GKF-JFJ
	)
OSAGE WIND, LLC;	)
ENEL KANSAS, LLC; and	)
ENEL GREEN POWER NORTH	)
AMERICA, INC.,	)
	)
Defendants.	)

DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF THE UNITED STATES' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT [Dkt. # 300]

#### **EXHIBIT 1**

```
1
              IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
             FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
 2.
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 3
 4
               Plaintiff,
 5
    and
 6
    OSAGE MINERALS COUNCIL,
 7
               Intervenor-Plaintiff,
                                    No. 14-CV-704-GFK-JFJ
    vs.
 8
    OSAGE WIND, LLC; ENEL KANSAS,
    LLC; and ENEL GREEN POWER
    NORTH AMERICA,
10
11
               Defendants.
12
    VIDEOTAPED VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF BILL MOSKALUK
                TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF
13
                 ON JUNE 16, 2021 AT 10:00 A.M.
14
                          APPEARANCES
15
    On behalf of the PLAINTIFF:
    Stuart Ashworth
16
    Cathryn D. McClanahan
    Nolan Fields
    UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
17
    Northern District of Oklahoma
18
    110 West 7th Street, Suite 300
    Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119
    918.382.2700
19
    stuart.ashworth@sol.doi.gov
20
21
     (Appearances continued on the following page)
2.2
    ALSO PRESENT: Megan Beauregard, Michelle Hammock, &
23
    Christina Watson
24
    VIDEOTAPED BY: Megan Smith
25
    REPORTED BY: Abby Rhodes, CSR, RPR
```

1 Α At that time, probably so, yes. Do you -- do you know now, right now 2. 0 Okay. 3 as we speak? 4 I don't recall what the issues were but... Α 5 Okay. And again, it seems like this is the 0 6 day before excavation work would have started, and you don't know what the issues -- issues that would have 7 8 been discussed here? 9 No, I really don't recall. 10 We're going to go back to your 0 Okay. declaration and we're going to go to paragraph 15 11 under A, little I, I'm going to actually let you read 12 this to yourself and let me know when you're done. 13 14 Α Okay. 15 You're making this, the declaration, the 0 statement in this section because you wanted the Court 16 17 to know about the excavation process in the case; is 18 that correct? 19 Α Yes. 20 In fact, you believe that by telling the Court about the excavation process, you were 21 2.2 somehow trying to be helpful to defeat the U.S.'s 23 request for injunction in the case; is that right? 24 MR. RAY: Object to form. 25 Now, say that again. THE WITNESS:

## Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

1 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Sure. By -- by making your statement here, by 2. informing the Court about the excavation process, 3 4 you're trying to be helpful to -- you know, in 5 opposition to the U.S.'s request for injunction; is 6 that right? 7 MR. RAY: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. At that time, I 8 9 think I was just basically explaining the excavation process on foundations, nothing to do with anything 10 11 else. 12 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Sure. 13 Do you believe that everything within this section is accurate, an accurate -- accurately 14 15 reflects the excavation for foundation in the case, for the foundation in the case? 16 17 Α To the best of my knowledge, yes. 18 0 Okay. Nowhere in this section did you 19 inform about the significant amount of blasting that 20 was taking place at the time; is that correct? 21 This was prior to the blasting. Α 2.2 0 I'm sorry, when did the blasting take place? 23 I'm not sure of the specific date, but Α 24 everybody that was involved with the blasting of these 25 excavations were relying on a document that was put

- 1 don't know.
- 2 As I stated before, you can use this
- 3 material if we didn't have the restrictions in place.
- 4 This is just a -- like a boilerplate type requirement
- 5 on the project.
- 6 Q Okay. So when you say this is a boilerplate
- 7 requirement for the project, are you indicating that
- 8 it's kind of a loose requirement that doesn't have to
- 9 be filled -- followed?
- 10 MR. RAY: Object to form.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I don't think it was intended
- 12 to be followed, actually, because of the restrictions
- 13 that we had. Other than that, I -- I don't really
- 14 know.
- 15 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. But nowhere in your
- declaration did you indicate that there was a scope of
- 17 work that would have allowed the crushed rock to be
- 18 used for something other than backfill?
- MR. RAY: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. We're going to go
- 22 to subparagraph, it's little numeral -- numeral
- 23 number -- or I'm sorry, numeral five which is on page
- 24 5. Oh, I'm sorry, it's declaration. Page 5, little
- 25 numeral five. One page down. In this section, I'm

# Professional Reporters 800.376.1006 www.proreporters.com

1 correct? The backfill material was for the structural 3 The other area was for drainage that we support. 4 built up draining it away from the turbine itself, and 5 a portion of that in that little access road and apron around the terminal -- around the turbine, but it 6 7 didn't leave that particular site, to my knowledge. 8 Did you tell the Court that the material is Q 9 being used for structural support in your declaration? 10 MR. RAY: Object to form. 11 THE WITNESS: I don't think so, no. 12 (By Mr. Ashworth) Do you think it would have 13 been important for the Court to know that Enel Green and Osage Wind was using the backfill material for 14 15 structural support? 16 MR. RAY: Object to form. I -- I don't know the answer 17 THE WITNESS: 18 to that. 19 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Let me re-ask it 0 20 this way: Do you know if it would have been important for the Court to know that the materials that were 21 2.2 excavated on site was being used for structural 23 support for the wind tower? 24 MR. RAY: Object to form. 25 THE WITNESS: I -- I don't -- I don't know

### Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

1 correct? The backfill material was for the structural 3 The other area was for drainage that we support. 4 built up draining it away from the turbine itself, and 5 a portion of that in that little access road and apron around the terminal -- around the turbine, but it 6 7 didn't leave that particular site, to my knowledge. 8 Did you tell the Court that the material is Q 9 being used for structural support in your declaration? 10 MR. RAY: Object to form. 11 THE WITNESS: I don't think so, no. 12 (By Mr. Ashworth) Do you think it would have 13 been important for the Court to know that Enel Green and Osage Wind was using the backfill material for 14 15 structural support? 16 MR. RAY: Object to form. I -- I don't know the answer 17 THE WITNESS: 18 to that. 19 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Let me re-ask it 0 20 this way: Do you know if it would have been important for the Court to know that the materials that were 21 2.2 excavated on site was being used for structural 23 support for the wind tower? 24 MR. RAY: Object to form. 25 THE WITNESS: I -- I don't -- I don't know

### Professional Reporters

1 that answer. (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Is the reason why 2. 3 you failed to tell the Court in your declaration that 4 the purpose for the excavated material to be used was 5 for structural support? Is that reason -- scratch 6 that. 7 Is the reason why you said that -- did not say that was to help Enel Green defeat the request for 8 injunction? 9 10 Α T don't --11 MR. RAY: Object to form. 12 THE WITNESS: I don't think that was the 13 intent, no. 14 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Under the --Q 15 paragraph underneath there, right under the page, it 16 says "No excavated rock or sand is sold or used for 17 commercial purposes." 18 Is that -- was that a correct statement? 19 Α Yes. 20 0 Okay. You separate sold and commercial 21 purposes. 2.2 When you're saying not used for commercial 23 purposes, are you meaning that the rock and sand were 24 not being used to advance any economic purpose of Enel 25 Green?

1 0 No worries. I said how would you define a borrow pit? 2. 3 Material where I could take material out of Α and use for around the project. 4 5 Q And is it typical to have a borrow pit on 6 site during the construction of a wind farm? 7 Α It's always a nicety. It --Do you -- oh, go ahead. 8 It will save you a lot of costs in trucking if it -- if it was right there on site. 10 11 Q Is that something that you would normally expect the -- the subcontractor, for instance in this 12 13 case IEA, to create as a part of their work in -- in constructing the wind farm? 14 15 Α I think it has to be designated ahead No. 16 of time, the borrow areas on the project site. 17 Q Do you know, were there borrow pits used or 18 created for -- for this construction, for the 19 construction of the Osage Wind farm? 20 Α No. 21 Q Do you --2.2 Α I --23 Go ahead. 0 24 -- I don't think we had one. Α 25 Q Was that a decision -- was the decision to

#### Professional Reporters

- 14
- 1 remove ANY soil from the project site or use site
- 2 materials in lieu of materials we would typically buy
- 3 off site in developing a wind project. Osage Nation
- 4 has mineral rights for the project lands and removal
- 5 of soil especially for commercial gain could
- 6 constitute mining."
- 7 Is that -- so I'm just reading there from
- 8 Aaron's e-mail. Is that -- does Aaron's statement
- 9 here, is that in accordance or does that agree with
- 10 your understanding of what the restrictions were at
- 11 the time of construction of the Osage Wind farm?
- MR. RAY: Object to form.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 14 Q (By Ms. Nagle) And I know you're not copied
- on this e-mail, but did -- did anyone ever communicate
- 16 that to you?
- 17 A Communicated it, yes. Yes, they have.
- 18 Q Do you remember -- oh, sorry. Go ahead.
- 19 A Yeah, it -- it was Bill Price who -- who
- 20 told me that. He wanted to make sure that I knew that
- 21 I was not to remove any materials from site in any
- 22 manner or form. I knew that.
- 23 O And did Bill Price tell you that we -- that
- 24 you were also not to use site materials in lieu of
- 25 materials that could be bought or purchased off site?

## 800.376.1006 www.proreporters.com

14

1	A No, he did not.	
2	Q He did not tell you that. Okay.	
3	Now, further on down in this e-mail from	
4	Aaron Weigel, he writes "Please make sure this message	
5	is widely communicated to any subcontractors working	
6	on the project."	
7	Do you recall anyone at EGPNA asking you to	
8	communicate, make sure that the folks at IEA	
9	understood the message that Aaron Weigel is sharing	
10	here in this e-mail?	
11	A No.	
12	Q Okay. Do you have any memory of anyone at	
13	13 EGPNA, other than Bill Price, communicating to you	
14	that it was important to not use the site materials in	
15	lieu of materials that could be purchased off site?	
16	A No.	
17	Q Okay. Now, I think earlier you did mention	
18	that one of the restrictions you understood at the	
19	time was that you were not allowed to take site	
20	materials and and move them across the wind farm	
21	and use them elsewhere.	
22	Can you explain to me is that is that	
23	a correct understanding of what you understood to be	
24	the limitation at the time?	
25	A Yes, it all the material that we, say,	

- 14
- 1 excavated from the turbine site or that particular
- 2 turbine site had to remain right there. I couldn't
- 3 use that material any place on the job site other than
- 4 that hole.
- 5 Q So your understanding was you -- you -- you
- 6 could take the minerals out of the ground, but you had
- 7 to put them right back where you got them; is that
- 8 correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q Was your understanding that it was
- 11 permissible for EGPNA to -- to do that and crush the
- 12 materials before putting them back in the ground?
- 13 A I caught a little bit of that but I didn't
- 14 really understand --
- 15 **Q** Sure.
- 16 A -- the last part.
- 17 Q So I guess let me rephrase and ask a better
- 18 question.
- 19 Did anyone ever express to you, anyone from
- 20 EGPNA ever express to you any limitations on rock
- 21 crushing?
- 22 A No.
- Q Okay. Let's see here. Okay. So I'm now
- 24 going to show you a different document so if you'll
- 25 give me just a second to pull that up. I'm going to

# Professional Reporters 800.376.1006 www.proreporters.com

materials in lieu of materials we would typically buy

- ---
- off site in developing a wind project. Osage Nation
- 3 has mineral rights for the project lands and removal
- 4 of soil especially for commercial gain could
- 5 constitute mining."

1

- Does it -- does this sound familiar to you,
- 7 this -- this statement and this understanding of what
- 8 was permissible and what was not?
- 9 MR. RAY: Object to form.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Partially, yes, but, like I
- 11 said, I'm not familiar with this one, with all... any
- 12 soils from the site and I never heard of using the
- 13 materials in lieu of materials from -- we had
- 14 typically bought off site. I've -- I've never heard
- 15 that before.
- 16 Q (By Ms. Nagle) Okay. And did you -- did
- 17 Joan Heredia ever communicate directly with you about
- 18 any limitations in constructing the Osage Wind farm?
- 19 A She visited the job site I believe once and
- 20 we had a conversation basically in regards to this.
- 21 She asked me if I was removing any of the material off
- 22 site and I said no. She basically said, well, good.
- 23 **O Mmm-hmm.**
- 24 Do you -- do you recall when that visit was,
- 25 what month it would have been?

## Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

- 1 0 And was he with IEA? 2. Α Yes, he was. 3 Okay. And it looks like below, we've got 0 this -- at the very bottom we've got this e-mail here 4 5 from Brian Jensen. 6 Do you recall who Brian Jensen was? 7 Α Yes, he worked for Tradewinds. In what capacity, I'm -- I'm not really sure. 8 9 Okay. Did you ever interact with him while Q working on the Osage Wind farm? 10 11 I might have talked to him a couple of Α 12 times. Nothing pertinent but... Sure. He writes here in his July 9, 2014, 13 Q e-mail, that "And as we have discussed in the past, we 14 15 will not be able to transport fill from one part of 16 the project to another due to Osage Nation mining 17 laws."
- 18 Α Right.
- 19 Does that conform with your understanding of 0
- 20 what some of the limitations were at the time of
- 21 construction?
- 2.2 Α Yes.
- 23 And let's see here. Let me keep 0 Okay.
- 24 going. What was your understanding, though -- you
- 25 told me that Steve Champagne had -- had told you that

### Professional Reporters